REMARKS

Independent claims 1, 13, 21, 24 and 33 and 34 have been amended to clarify the invention over the art. Dependent claims 4, 7, 14 and 26 have been amended to conform with changes made in claims 1, 13 and 24, respectively. Claims 4, 9, 13, 17, and 23 also have been amended to correct minor spelling and typographical errors.

Turning to the rejection of claims 1-8, 13-16, 21-28, 33 and 34 under 35 USC §103 as obvious over a combination of Kannan et al. (U.S. Patent 5,815,702) and Anschuetz et al. (U.S. Patent 5,305,455), independent claims 1, 13, 21, 24, 33 and 34 have been amended to clarify that an exception is "caused due to a runtime fault in a thread executing an application." No combination of Kannan et al. and Anschuetz et al. teaches this feature. Anschuetz et al. deals only with handling an operating system (OS) level exception. Anschuetz et al. receives an exception of an OS, and dispatches the exception in the OS to an exception handler. The example exception occurs in a portion of processor 12 (col. 4, lines 24-35). The processor 12 dispatches the exception to the OS 14 so that control is transferred to a kernel exception dispatcher 68 of the OS. This is an OS level exception. Anschuetz et al. does not disclose any "method for recovering an application from a runtime fault." (emphasis added) Thus, Anschuetz et al. does not teach "receiving an exception caused due to a runtime fault in a thread executing an application" (emphasis added), as required by Applicants' amended claims 1, 13, 21, 24, 33 and 34. Furthermore, Kannan et al. does not supply the missing teaching to Anschuetz et al. to achieve or render obvious claims 1, 13, 21, 24, 33 and 34. Kannan et al. teaches a software product that enables a user to continue using an application that has generated a fatal exception. Kannan et al. does this by providing a crash guard process 107, an exception handler 115 and a

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.

130 W. CUSHING ST. TUCSON, AZ 85701 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643

Serial No. 09/903,100 Docket No. GSH 08-885923 Amendment A

safe message loop 109 in the computer memory 103 (FIG. 1). The safe message loop 109 is "a portion of code that replaces, or substitutes for this main event or message loop of any application 105 once the application generates a fatal exception" (col. 4, lines 55-61). When the current instruction 302 of the application 105 generates 301 a fatal exception, the operating system 111 halts the execution of the application's instructions and sends fault data to the exception handler 115 (FIG. 3). If the user chooses to continue 311, the exception handler 115 replaces 315, the address of the instruction register 121, with the location of the entry address of the safe message loop 109. The OS 111 uses this new address value and resumes its execution at the safe message loop 109. The thread of control 316 is within the safe message loop 109 (col. 7, lines 49-62).

Kannan et al. suggests replacement of the existing offending message loop with the safe message loop 109. The safe message loop 109 is loaded into the memory 103 in advance (col. 6, lines 36-37). Thus, replacing the existing message loop with the safe message loop 109 may not always work where the user wants the application to continue to run as if nothing happened; and there may be some logic around the existing message loop that, if replaced with the safe message loop 109, may lead to undesired application behavior. Therefore, Kannan et al. does not disclose or suggest translation of the exception into an exception that the application is capable of handling, as recited in Applicants' amended claims 1, 13, 21, 24, 33 and 34.

Accordingly, even if one skilled in the art terminated a thread when an OS level exception is received as per Anschuetz et al., and replaced an existing message loop of an application with a pre-stored safe message loop as per Kannan et al., he would still fail to provide a method that translates the exception into an exception that can be handled by the

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.

130 W. CUSHING ST. TUCSON, AZ 85701 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643

Serial No. 09/903,100 Docket No. GSH 08-885923 Amendment A

application. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that the invention as claimed in claims 1, 13, 21, 24, 33 and 34, and all claims dependent therefrom, are patentable over the combination of Anschuetz et al. and Kannan et al.

Turning to the rejection of claims 9-12, 17-20 and 29-32 under 35 USC §103 as obvious over a combination of Kannan et al. and Anschuetz et al. in view of LeVine et al. (U.S. Patent 6,591,379), claims 9-12, 17-20 and 29-32 are all directly or indirectly dependent on claims 1, 13 and 24. The deficiencies of the combination of Kannan et al. and Anschuetz et al. have been discussed above vis-à-vis claims 1, 13 and 24. LeVine et al. does not supply the missing teaching to achieve or render obvious claims 1, 13 and 24, or claims 9-12, 17-20 and 29-32, which depend thereon. LeVine et al. teaches injecting an exception into a hung program, rather than receiving an exception and dealing with it in a way to preserve the state of the running application. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that if one skilled in the art combined the teaching of LeVine et al. with Kannan et al. and Anschuetz et al., such combination would fail to translate a received exception occurred in an application into an exception that can be handled by the application, as recited in claims 1, 13 and 24. Therefore, no combination of Kannan et al., Anshuetz et al. and LeVine et al. could achieve or render obvious claims 9-12, 17-20 and 29-32.

Having dealt with all the objections raised by the Examiner, the Application is believed to be in order for allowance. Early and favorable action are respectfully requested.

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.

130 W. CUSHING ST. TUCSON, AZ 85701 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643



Serial No. 09/903,100 Docket No. GSH 08-885923 Amendment A

In the event there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge them (or credit any overpayment) to our Deposit Account Number 08-1391.

Respectfully submitted,

4DD 0 0 2004

Norman P. Soloway Attorney for Applicants

Technology Center 2100

Reg. No. 24,315

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

NPS:nm

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.

130 W. CUSHING ST. TUCSON, AZ 85701 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643